

APPLICATION NO.

10/632,017

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uxplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

Olaf Abels 71084 9343

EXAMINER

7590 03/24/2004
McGLEW AND TUTTLE
John James McGlew
Scaborough Station
Scarborough, NY 10510-0827

FILING DATE

07/31/2003

GARCIA, ERNESTO

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3679

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	•			1
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	N /
		10/632,017	ABELS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
4		Ernesto Garcia	3679	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 July 2003.			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.			
3)	•			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.	vn from consideration.		
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachmen		Λ □ 1-4	(DTO 442)	
2) Notice 3) Infon	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/632,017

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- I. Figures 1, 3 and 10, without gap 7
- II. Figures 2, 3 and 10, with gap 7;
- III. Figure 5, 3 and 10;
- IV. Figures 6, 4 and 10;
- V. Figures 7, 8 and 10;
- VI. Figures 9, 10 and 3. Note: This figure differs to that of Figure 9 in the parent case, 09/700,598. Fig. 9 in the parent case didn't have a gap 7.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,017

Art Unit: 3679

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 9, 23 and 24-27.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the sliding ring lacks the same shape in the embodiments, the sliding ring lacks a slot in some embodiments, and the ball race lacks the lugs in the legs of the ball race.

A telephone call was made to Theobald Dengler on March 11, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/632,017

Art Unit: 3679

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 703-308-

8606. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on 703-308-1159. The fax number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-3597 for

regular communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is 703-308-2168.

Lynne H. Browne Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 3620

Page 4

E.G.

March 11, 2004

Anthony Knight

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600